

**NOTES OF THE NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT PLAN
MEETING No.32 TO BE HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER,
ROCK METHODIST CHURCH
ON WEDNESDAY, 26th MARCH 2014 @ 7pm**

Lowlands:	Cllr. Carol Mould (Chairman)	Cllr. Gail Webb	Cllr. Brian Gisbourne
Highlands:	Cllr. Brian Lane	Cllr. Brian Owen	
W/Groups:	Mr Hugh Ridgway Mr Jeremy Davies	Mr David Short Mr Mike Parry	Mrs Helen Rawe Mr Rob Mably
In attendance	Mr Keith Snelling	Mrs Thompson (Clerk)	

Minute	AGENDA ITEMS	Action
NDP35/14	<u>Apologies for Absence</u> – Mr Henry Herzberg and Mr Charlie Turner.	
NDP36/14	<u>General Statement on Status of Project</u> – Mr Snelling.	
NDP37/14	<p><u>Public Consultation Meeting</u> – debrief following the meeting held on 17th March 2014 at the Rock Institute.</p> <p>a. Mr Parry felt the majority of people were happy with the work done so far. There was concern about the number/size and access to Area 1. Mr Snelling pointed out that whilst the access from Rock Road was currently difficult, it would be possible. Cllr. Mould said that there is another alternative access. He summarised by saying that isolated opinions had been expressed.</p> <p>b. A statement was made at the meeting about the suitability of Area 6 (Polzeath), which hadn't required a response.</p> <p>c. Mr Ridgway said that Area 1 needed more work. He said that had people read the supporting documentation, then 75% of the questions needn't have been asked and added that this was an issue going forward into the main questionnaire.</p> <p>d. Mr Snelling and Cllr. Mould said there was a general feeling around the parish</p> <p>e. Mr Parry posed a 'what if' question: 'What would happen if the majority of people in a specific area didn't want a development, but when it came to the final vote the majority voting were in favour?' This was democracy.</p> <p>Mr Robert Lawrence to be asked to analyse the information gathered so far to indicate where the votes in each area had been cast for each potential development area.</p> <p>f. Mr Davies said he had spoken to Mr Turner and both had been completely unaware of the potential development areas, until the questionnaire had been issued. Mr Snelling said this had been discussed by the Working Party and at least three times by the Steering Groups.</p> <p>He advised he had a vested interest as he owned land in the parish, but referred to the wish of many on the site (Rosarrow) to have the s.106 condition lifted. Mr Snelling reported a question had been asked about lifting s.106 conditions in the first questionnaire.</p> <p>He felt that the questionnaires must be more widely circulated. Mr Snelling said the original questionnaire had been posted to all addresses. Some 180 emails had been sent urging completion of the questionnaires. He added that when the grant had been applied for postage for questionnaires had not been considered a legitimate cost. Mr Snelling estimated that we will have approximately one-third of the residents' email addresses before the exercise was over.</p>	

	<p>g. There was no evidence that people were abusing the system by submitting multi responses. Mr Parry was concerned about 'lobby groups' urging their members to vote in a certain way.</p> <p>h. A final decision has yet to be taken on how/where the final NDP is distributed. It will be available online and emailed to those, whose addresses we hold. Mr Short suggested posting an information flyer widely advising where the NDP could be viewed.</p> <p>i. Cllr. Mould said the main issue is likely to be on the actual wording of the NDP. This had been the area attracting the most criticism in those NDP which had been submitted so far.</p> <p>j. The Clerk to obtain a copy of the latest Electoral Register for Lowlands and Highlands to try to gauge an idea if many second home owners had registered in order to be eligible to vote.</p> <p>k. Cllr. Mould clarified that as many as possible from the Steering Group need to be involved in drafting the final NDP. Lack of time is likely to be the main problem.</p> <p>l. Mr Snelling work is still needed on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. The potential development boundaries; ii. Infrastructure, to include access roads, footpaths, cycles paths, public conveniences (everyone to look at this, with a view to giving it further consideration at the next meeting); iii. Landscape assessment, Trebetherick, Tredrizzick, Penmayne, Pityme, Rock and St Minver still need doing. Cllr. Mould will circulate the tick questionnaire. Mr Ridgway will do Trebetherick; Mr Parry that of Penmayne and Pityme; iv. A sustainability statement. <p>m. Mr Davies said he felt an agreed Vision Statement was needed before (l) above was actioned. Mr Snelling pointed out he had written a draft vision statement but had received no feedback. Mr Davies undertook to work on this. The statement is on the website. Mr Ridgway said this should be handled by the original Vision Group, and brought to the next meeting for further consideration.</p> <p>n. Mrs Rawe asked if we need to engage further with businesses. The attendance at the Business Meeting at Roserrow had been abysmal. It could be that they are satisfied with progress so far or it could be that business people, traditionally, 'play their cards close to their chest'.</p> <p>o. There was much discussion regarding an extension to the car park at Rock and there this fitted into the NDP.</p> <p>p. Mrs Rawe asked if lifting the s.106 conditions would have an effect on the number of houses needed. This was not the case. Mr Davies left the meeting at this point.</p> <p>q. The 150 new homes, which had been identified as being needed, might need to be revised.</p> <p>r. Mr Snelling said all the Working Groups need to finalise their work within four weeks. Then the NDP can be drafted.</p>	<p>Steering Group</p> <p>Vision Group</p>
<p>NDP38/14</p>	<p><u>Finances</u> –</p> <p>a. <i>Income & Expenditure Report</i> – circulated at the meeting. Noted all of the grant money had now been spent.</p>	<p>Inspector</p>
<p>NDP39/14</p>	<p><u>Next Meeting</u> – 9th April 2014 @ 7pm and from then on every fortnight.</p>	
<p>NDP40/14</p>	<p><u>Meeting Closed</u> – 20.30 pm.</p>	